

## BIOLOGICALLY ACTIVE SUBSTANCES FROM *Cacalia hastata* LEAVES. 5. COUMARINS AND TRITERPENES

D. N. Olennikov and L. M. Tankhaeva

UDC 582.998+581.192

In continuation of research on the chemical composition of *Cacalia hastata* L. leaves, a batch (1.0 kg) was processed in a Soxhlet apparatus successively by  $C_6H_{14}$ ,  $CHCl_3$ , and EtOAc. After saponification (10% KOH/EtOH), the  $CHCl_3$  extract was diluted in water (1:5). The resulting solid was filtered off and chromatographed over silica gel ( $CHCl_3$ :EtOH, 100:0→90:10) with TLC monitoring ( $C_6H_6$ :MeOH, 4:1;  $C_6H_{14}$ : $C_6H_6$ : $CHCl_3$ :MeOH, 5:4:2:1) to isolate two compounds **1** and **2**.

The aqueous phase after saponification was acidified and extracted with  $CHCl_3$ . The extract was chromatographed over silica gel ( $CHCl_3$ :EtOH, 100:0→95:5) with paper chromatographic (formamide: $CHCl_3$ , system I) and TLC ( $CHCl_3$ :EtOH, 19:1, system II) monitoring. The isolated compounds were rechromatographed in a free layer of silica gel (40/100, system I) and recrystallized from MeOH to afford three compounds **3-5**.

Qualitative reactions established that **1** and **2** were triterpenes; **3-5**, coumarins. The isolated compounds were identified using UV spectroscopy, chromatographic mobility, melting and mixed melting points, chemical transformations, and optical rotations.

Compound **1**, yield 0.05%, mp 139-140°C,  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  -36° (*c* 0.5,  $CHCl_3$ ) [1], identified as  $\beta$ -sitosterol.

Compound **2**, oleanolic acid [2], yield 0.12%, pale yellow needlelike crystals, mp 308.0-309.8°C,  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +78° (*c* 0.5,  $CHCl_3$ ), UV spectrum ( $H_2SO_4$ ,  $\lambda_{max}$ , nm) 310 [1]. Acetylation of **2** produced the acetate with mp 257-258°C.

Compounds **3-5** were reduced with hydriodic acid [3] to give a compound with mp 66-68°C, UV spectrum (EtOH,  $\lambda_{max}$ , nm) 272, 328, that was characterized as coumarin [4].

Compound **3**, yield 0.015%, mp 202-203°C (MeOH), TLC  $R_f$  0.55 (system I), 0.52 (system II), UV spectrum (EtOH,  $\lambda_{max}$ , nm) 231, 295, 340, scopoletin [4].

Compound **4**, yield 0.009%, mp 230-232°C (EtOH), TLC  $R_f$  0.18 (system I), 0.041 (system II), UV spectrum (EtOH,  $\lambda_{max}$ , nm) 228, 256, 330, umbelliferone [5]. The structure of **4** was confirmed by direct synthesis from malic acid and resorcinol by a Pechmann reaction [6].

Compound **5**, yield 0.001%, mp 269-270°C (EtOH), TLC  $R_f$  0.02 (system I), 0.15 (system II), UV spectrum (EtOH,  $\lambda_{max}$ , nm) 262, 305, 356, esculetin [5].

Coumarins in *C. hastata* leaves were determined quantitatively using chromatography and spectrophotometry and the specific extinction coefficients *E* (1 cm, 1%) [7]; triterpenes calculated for oleanolic acid, by spectrophotometry using a halochromic reaction with conc.  $H_2SO_4$  [8]. It was found that the contents of scopoletin, esculetin, and triterpenes in *C. hastata* leaves increased during mass flowering, reaching values of 0.031, 0.002, and 0.92%, respectively, and slightly decreased by autumn (Table 1). The exception was umbelliferone, the concentration of which increased toward the end of vegetation.

Triterpenes in representatives of the genus *Cacalia* L. have been observed in *C. aconitifolia* (oleanolic acid acetate) [2] and *C. kamtschatica* ( $\beta$ -amurin,  $\beta$ -sitosterol, stigmasterol, campesterol) [9, 10]. Coumarins in *C. hastata* have not been previously investigated. All compounds were isolated from *C. hastata* leaves for the first time.

TABLE 1. Accumulation Dynamics of Coumarins and Triterpenes in *C. hastata* L. Leaves, %

Substance	Start of vegetation (June 1)	Vegetation (June 11)	Vegetation (June 22)	Budding (July 28)	Mass flowering (August 15)	Fruiting (August 28)
Scopoletin	0.010±0.001	0.010±0.002	0.013±0.002	0.028±0.004	0.031±0.005	0.012±0.005
Umbelliferone	0.005±0.001	0.006±0.001	0.015±0.001	0.017±0.001	0.020±0.002	0.028±0.003
Esculetin	-	-	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001
Triterpenes	0.31±0.03	0.44±0.04	0.74±0.09	0.86±0.08	0.92±0.11	0.82±0.09

## REFERENCES

1. E. S. Kondratenko, Zh. M. Putieva, and N. K. Abubakirov, *Khim. Prir. Soedin.*, 417 (1981).
2. N. P. Krasovskaya, N. I. Kulesh, and V. A. Denisenko, *Khim. Prir. Soedin.*, 643 (1989).
3. E. D. Giorgobiani and N. F. Komissarenko, *Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruz. SSR*, **53**, 363 (1963).
4. M. P. Yuldashev, *Khim. Prir. Soedin.*, 159 (2002).
5. E. N. Sal'nikova, N. F. Komissarenko, S. E. Dmitruk, and G. I. Kalinkina, *Khim. Prir. Soedin.*, 136 (1994).
6. G. A. Zhukov, Candidate Dissertation in Pharmaceutical Sciences, Khar'kov (1971).
7. E. P. Kemertelidze and V. P. Georgievskii, *Physicochemical Analytical Methods for Certain Biologically Active Substances of Plant Origin* [in Russian], Tbilisi (1976).
8. G. L. Genkina, L. G. Mzhel'skaya, T. T. Shakirov, and N. K. Abubakirov, *Khim. Prir. Soedin.*, 220 (1977).
9. T. Takemoto, G. Kusano, K. Aota, M. Kaneshima, and N. A. El-Emary, *Yakugaku Zasshi*, **94**, 1593 (1974).
10. N. A. El-Emary, T. Takemoto, and G. Kusano, *Planta Med.*, **38**, 161 (1980).